APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

	CTIONI: BACKGROUNDINFORMATION
	REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): 5/19/2022
	DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Fort Worth District, SWF-2004-00414 Jacksboro Landfill PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:
C.	State: Texas County: Jack City: Joplin
1.	
	Universal Transverse Mercator:
	Name of nearest waterbody: Jasper Creek
	Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the a quatic resource flows: Lake Bridgeport
	Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 120301010406
	Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are a vailable upon request.
	Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc.) are associated with this action and are recorded
	on a different JD form.
D	REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):
υ.	Office (Desk) Determination. Date:
	Field Determination. Date(s): December 15, 2021
	CTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS
	RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
	ere Are No "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part
329	in the review area. [Required]
	Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide. Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign
	commerce. Explain:
	Commerce. Explain.
B.	CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
The	ere Are "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.
	1. Waters of the U.S.
	a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): 1
	☐ TNWs, including territorial seas ☐ Wetlands a djacent to TNWs
	Relatively permanent waters ² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands a djacent to but not directly a butting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands a djacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Impoundments of jurisdictional waters
	Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
	Wetlands a djacent to but not directly a butting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
	Wetlands a djacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
	1 J
	Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands
	b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area (See attached tables):
	Non-wetland waters: 1761 linear feet of stream (4 feet wide) and 0 acres for open water ponds
	Wetlands: acres.
	TOTAL TABLE
	c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: 1987 Delineation Manual and OHWM indicators.
	Elevation of established OHWM (if known): Unknown.
	2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable): ³
	Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to not be
	jurisdictional. Explain:

¹ Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

² For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

³ Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

TNW Identify TNW: Summarize rationale supporting determination: Wetland adjacent to TNW Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent":

CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF В. ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under Rapanos have been met. The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4. A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law. If the waterbody is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination

1. Characteristics of non-TNWs tributaries that flow directly or indirectly into TNW (i) General Area Conditions: Watershed size: acres Draina ge area: 914 acres Average annual rain fall: 33 inches Average annual snowfall: 1 inches (ii) Physical Characteristics: (a) Relationship with TNW: □ Tributary flows directly into TNW. □ Tributary flows through tributaries before entering TNW. Project waters are 10-15 river miles from TNW. Project waters are Pick Listriver miles from RPW. Project waters are 9.67 acrial (straight) miles from TNW. Project waters are 0.40 acrial (straight) miles from RPW. Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. No Explain: Identify flow route to TNW ⁵ : Jasper Creek to Lake Bridgeport (TNW). Tributary stream order, if known: 3rd. (b) General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply): Tributary is: □ Natural. Explain: No development in stream reach. Some ponds construct upstream and minor road crossings. □ Artificial (man-made). Explain: □ Manipulated (man-altered). Explain: Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate): Average width: 4 feet Average depth: 8 feet (ranging from 4 to 20 feet) Average side slopes: 2:1 or steeper. Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that a pply): □ Silts □ Sands □ Concrete □ Cobbles □ Gravel □ Muck	whether a significant nears exists is determined in Section 111. C below.				
(a) Relationship with TNW: ☐ Tributary flows directly into TNW. ☐ Tributary flows through tributaries before entering TNW. Project waters are 10-15 river miles from TNW. Project waters are 9.67 aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Project waters are 9.67 aerial (straight) miles from RPW. Project waters are 0.40 aerial (straight) miles from RPW. Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. No Explain: Identify flow route to TNW ⁵ : Jasper Creek to Lake Bridgeport (TNW). Tributary stream order, if known: 3rd. (b) General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply): Tributary is: ☐ Natural. Explain: No development in stream reach. Some ponds construct upstream and minor road crossings. ☐ Artificial (man-made). Explain: ☐ Manipulated (man-altered). Explain: Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate): Average width: 4 feet Average depth: 8 feet (ranging from 4 to 20 feet) Average side slopes: 2:1 or steeper. Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply): ☐ Silts ☐ Sands ☐ Concrete ☐ Cobbles ☐ Gravel ☐ Muck	1.	(i) General Area Conditions: Watershed size: acres Draina ge area: 914 acres Avera ge a nnual rainfall: 33 inches			
		(a) Relationship with TNW: ☐ Tributary flows directly into TNW. ☒ Tributary flows through tributaries before entering TNW. Project waters are 10-15 river miles from TNW. Project waters are Pick Listriver miles from RPW. Project waters are 0.40 aerial (straight) miles from RPW. Project waters are 0.40 aerial (straight) miles from RPW. Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. No Explain: Identify flow route to TNW ⁵ : Jasper Creek to Lake Bridgeport (TNW). Tributary stream order, if known: 3rd. (b) General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply): Tributary is: ☒ Natural. Explain: No development in stream reach. Some ponds constructed upstream and minor road crossings. ☐ Artificial (man-made). Explain: ☐ Manipulated (man-altered). Explain: Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate): Average width: 4 feet Average depth: 8 feet (ranging from 4 to 20 feet) Average side slopes: 2:1 or steeper. Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that a pply): ☐ Silts ☐ Sands ☐ Concrete ☐ Cobbles ☐ Gravel ☐ Muck			

⁴ Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid

⁵ Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

☐ Other. Explain: Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain: Mixed conditions of vegetated areas and some erosion. Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. N/A Explain: Tributary geometry: Meandering Tributary gradient (approximate a verage slope): 1-2 %
(c) Flow: Tributary provides for: Ephemeral Estimate average number of flow events in review a rea/year: more than 10 Describe flow regime: Driven by precipitation events. More than 10 occurred during 2020-2021 one-year period as shown on APT output showing relatively normal precipitation throughout the period except mid-August to mid-September. Other information on duration and volume: Surface flow is: Discrete and confined. Characteristics: Steep side slopes and depth of channel constrain
out of bank flows. Subsurface flow: Unknown. Explain findings: □ Dye (or other) test performed: Tributary has (check all that apply): Bed and banks ○ OHWM ⁶ (check all indicators that apply): □ clear, natural line impressed on the bank □ the presence of litter and debris □ changes in the character of soil □ destruction of terrestrial vegetation □ shelving □ the presence of wrack line □ vegetation matted down, bent, or a bsent □ sediment sorting □ leaf litter disturbed or washed away □ scour □ sediment deposition □ multiple observed or predicted flow events □ water staining □ abrupt change in plant community □ other (list): □ Discontinuous OHWM. Explain: If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply):
High Tide Line indicated by: Mean High Water Mark indicated by: oil or scum line a long shore objects survey to a vailable datum; fine shell/debris deposits (foreshore) physical markings; physical markings/characteristics vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types. tidal gauges other (list): (iii) Chemical Characteristics: Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.). Explain: Due to condition of watershed, precitipitation events are likely to have some suspended sediment but flow is expected to generally clear. Identify specific pollutants, if known: Cattle use occurs in the watershed so E. coli is expected.
 (iv) Biological Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply): □ Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, a verage width): Woody vegetation exists along the channel that varies from less than 10 feet to more than 150 feet depending on agricultural practices (clearing for pasture). □ Wetland fringe. Characteristics: □ Habitat for: □ Federally Listed species. Explain findings: □ Fish/spawn a reas. Explain findings: □ Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: Riparian corridor and stream provide travel corridor as well as feeding and resting habitat for game species as well as roosting and feeding

⁶A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

⁷Ibid.

(i) Physical Characteristics: (a) General Wetland Characteristics: Properties: Wetland size: acres Wetland size: by Explain: Wetland quality. Explain: Wetland quality. Explain: Wetland quality. Explain: Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: (b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW: Flow is: Pick List Explain findings: Surface flow: Pick List Explain findings: Upye (or other) test performed: (c) Wetland Adiacency Determination with Non-TNW: Upicarty abutting Upserdy A			for avian species. Connectivity upstream and downstream provides for greater utilization than			
2. Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW (i) Physical Characteristics: (a) General Wetland Characteristics: Properties: Wetland size: acres Wetland size: acres Wetland type. Explain: Wetland quality. Explain: Wetland quality. Explain: Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: (b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW: Flow is: Pick List Explain. Surface flow: Pick List Explain findings: Dpc (or other) test performed: (c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW: Directly abutting Not directly abutting Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: Ecological connection. Explain: Separated by berm/barrier. Explain:There is an earthen berm east of the wetland. (d) Proximity Relationship to TNW Project wetlands are Pick Listrier miles from TNW. Project wetlands are Pick Listrier miles from TNW. Flow is from: Pick List Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the Pick List floodplain. (ii) Chemical Characteristics: Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain: Identify specific pollutants, ifknown: Identify specific pollutants, ifknown: Habitat for: Pish/spawn areas. Explain findings: Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: Gother environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:						
(i) Physical Characteristics: (a) General Wetland Characteristics: Properties: Wetland size: acres Wetland size: by Explain: Wetland quality. Explain: Wetland quality. Explain: Wetland quality. Explain: Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: (b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW: Flow is: Pick List Explain findings: Surface flow: Pick List Explain findings: Upye (or other) test performed: (c) Wetland Adiacency Determination with Non-TNW: Upicarty abutting Upserdy A			Aquatic/whome diversity. Explain findings.			
(a) General Wetland Characteristics: Properties: Wetland size: acres Wetland type. Explain: Wetland quality. Explain: Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: (b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW: Flow is: Pick List Characteristics: Subsurface flow: Pick List Characteristics: Subsurface flow Pick List Explain findings: Dye (or other) test performed: (c) Wetland Adiacency Determination with Non-TNW; Directly abutting Not directly abutting Solve wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: Ecological connection. Explain: Ecological connection. Explain: Separated by berm/barrier. Explain: There is an earthen berm east of the wetland. (d) Proximity (Relationship to TNW) Project waters are Pick List in the Pick List floodplain. (ii) Chemical Characteristics: Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain: Identify specific pollutants, if known: (iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply): Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width): Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain: Habitat for: Fish/span areas. Explain findings: Aquatic/wildlic diversity. Explain findings: Aquatic/wildlic diversity. Explain findings: Aquatic/wildlic diversity. Explain findings: Aquatic/wildlic diversity. Explain findings: Balance of the wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any) All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: Pick List	2.					
Wetland size: acres Wetland type. Explain: Wetland quality. Explain: Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: (b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW: Flow is: Pick List Explain: Surface flow is: Pick List Characteristics: Subsurface flow: Pick List Explain findings: Dye (or other) test performed: (c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW: Directly abutting Not directly abutting Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: Separated by berm/barrier. Explain: There is an earthen berm east of the wetland. (d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW Project wetlands are Pick Listerial (straight) miles from TNW. Flow is from: Pick List Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the Pick List floodplain. (ii) Chemical Characteristics: Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain: Identify specific pollutants, if known: (iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply): Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width): Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width): Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width): Riparian buffer. Explain findings: Fish/spaw areas. Explain findings: Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: Biological Characteristics of all wetlands) being considered in the cumulative analysis: Pick List			General Wetland Characteristics:			
Wetland type. Explain: Wetland quality. Explain: Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: (b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW: Flow is: Pick List Explain: Surface flow: Pick List Explain: Surface flow: Pick List Explain findings: Dye (or other) test performed: (c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW: Directly abutting Not directly abutting Not directly abutting Not directly abutting Separated by berm/barrier. Explain: There is an earthen berm east of the wetland. (d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW Project wetland sare Pick List interior is an earthen berm east of the wetland. (d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW Project wetlands are Pick List interior miles from TNW. Flow is from: Pick List Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the Pick List floodplain. (ii) Chemical Characteristies: Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristies; etc.). Explain: Identify specific pollutants, if known: (iii) Biological Characteristies. Wetland supports (check all that apply): Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width): Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain: Habitat for: Federally Listed species. Explain findings: Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: Separated by the province of the tributary (if any) All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: Pick List			I			
Wetland quality. Explain: Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: (b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW: Flow is: Pick List Explain: Surface flow is: Pick List Characteristics: Subsurface flow: Pick List Explain findings: Dye (or other) test performed:						
Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:						
Flow is: Pick List Explain: Surface flow is: Pick List Explain findings: Characteristics: Subsurface flow: Pick List Explain findings: Dye (or other) test performed:						
Surface flow is: Pick List Characteristics: Subsurface flow: Pick List Explain findings: Dye (or other) test performed: (c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW: Directly abutting Not directly abutting Separated by berm/barrier. Explain: Separated by berm/barrier. Explain: There is an earthen berm east of the wetland. (d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW Project waters are Pick Listerial (straight) miles from TNW. Project waters are Pick Listerial (straight) miles from TNW. Flow is from: Pick List Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the Pick List floodplain. (ii) Chemical Characteristics: Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain: Identify specific pollutants, if known: (iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply): Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width): Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain:. Habitat for: Habitat for: Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:		(b)	General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW:			
Characteristics: Subsurface flow: Pick List Explain findings: Dye (or other) test performed: Dye (or other) test performed: Dye (or other) test per						
Subsurface flow: Pick List Explain findings: Dye (or other) test performed: Directly abutting						
Dye (or other) test performed: (c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:						
Directly abutting						
Not directly abutting		(c)	Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:			
Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: Ecological connection. Explain: Separated by berm/barrier. Explain: There is an earthen berm east of the wetland. (d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW Project wetlands are Pick Listriver miles from TNW. Project waters are Pick Listriver miles from TNW. Flow is from: Pick List Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the Pick List floodplain. (ii) Chemical Characteristics: Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain:. Identify specific pollutants, if known: (iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply): Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width): Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain: Habitat for: Federally Listed species. Explain findings: Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: Pick List						
Cological connection. Explain: Separated by berm/barrier. Explain: There is an earthen berm east of the wetland. (d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW Project wetlands are Pick Listriver miles from TNW. Project waters are Pick Listaerial (straight) miles from TNW. Project waters are Pick List Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the Pick List floodplain. (ii) Chemical Characteristics: Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.). Explain: Identify specific pollutants, if known: Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width): Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain: Habitat for: Federally Listed species. Explain findings: Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: Pick List Pic						
Separated by berm/barrier. Explain: There is an earthen berm east of the wetland. (d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW Project wetlands are Pick Listriver miles from TNW. Project waters are Pick Listriver miles from TNW. Flow is from: Pick List Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the Pick List floodplain. (ii) Chemical Characteristics: Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain: Identify specific pollutants, if known: (iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply): Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width): Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain:. Habitat for: Federally Listed species. Explain findings: Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: Pick List						
Project wetlands are Pick Listriver miles from TNW. Project waters are Pick Listaerial (straight) miles from TNW. Flow is from: Pick List Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the Pick List floodplain. (ii) Chemical Characteristics: Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain:. Identify specific pollutants, if known:. (iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply): Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width): Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain:. Habitat for: Federally Listed species. Explain findings: Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: Pick List						
Project waters are Pick List estimate approximate location of wetland as within the Pick List floodplain. (ii) Chemical Characteristics: Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain:. Identify specific pollutants, if known:. (iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply): Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width): Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain:. Habitat for: Federally Listed species. Explain findings: Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: Pick List		(d)				
Flow is from: Pick List Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the Pick List floodplain. (ii) Chemical Characteristics: Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain:. Identify specific pollutants, if known:. (iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply): Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width): Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain:. Habitat for: Federally Listed species. Explain findings: Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any) All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: Pick List						
Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the Pick List floodplain. (ii) Chemical Characteristics: Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain:. Identify specific pollutants, if known:. (iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply): Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width): Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain:. Habitat for: Federally Listed species. Explain findings: Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:						
Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain:. Identify specific pollutants, if known:. (iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply): Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width): Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain:. Habitat for: Federally Listed species. Explain findings: Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: Pick List						
Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain:. Identify specific pollutants, if known:. (iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply): Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width): Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain:. Habitat for: Federally Listed species. Explain findings: Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: Pick List		(ii) Chen	mical Characteristics:			
Identify specific pollutants, if known: (iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply): Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width): Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain:. Habitat for: Federally Listed species. Explain findings: Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: Alustics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any) All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: Pick List		` /				
(iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply): Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width): Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain:. Habitat for: Federally Listed species. Explain findings: Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: Pick List						
Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width): Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain:. Habitat for: Federally Listed species. Explain findings: Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: Pick List		Ident	tify specific pollutants, if known:.			
Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain:. Habitat for: Federally Listed species. Explain findings: Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: Aquatics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any) All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: Pick List						
Habitat for: Federally Listed species. Explain findings: Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: Aquatics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any) All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: Pick List						
☐ Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: ☐ Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: ☐ Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: 3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any) All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: Pick List			Habitat for:			
Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any) All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: Pick List			Federally Listed species. Explain findings:			
Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: 3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any) All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: Pick List			☐ Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:			
All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: Pick List			☐ Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:			
All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: Pick List	3.	Characte	eristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any)			
			* * * */			
			proximately () acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis.			
For each wetland, specify the following: Directly abuts? (Y/N) Size (in acres) Directly abuts? (Y/N) Size (in acres)		For				

3.

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed:.

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the Rapanos Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?

 Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- 1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D: Stream carries nutrients and organic carbon downstream to Lake Bridgeport; filters pollutants and assists in sediment transport; serves as travel corridor for wildlife.
- 2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its a diacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:
- 3. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:.

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

1.	TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area: ☐ TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or, acres. ☐ Wetlands a djacent to TNWs: acres.
2.	RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. ☐ Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial: ☐ Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally: Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply): ☐ Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft). ☐ Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters:
	3. Non-RPWs ⁸ that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. ☑ Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C. Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply): ☑ Tributary waters: 1761 linear feet 4 width (ft). ☐ Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: Ephemeral stream.
4.	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. ☐ Wetlands directly abutt RPW and thus are jurisdictional as a djacent wetlands. ☐ Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly a butting an RPW: ☐ Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, a bove. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly a butting an RPW: Provide a creage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
5.	Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

8See Footnote#3.

	are adja jurisidic	cent and with similarly si tional. Data supporting tl			which they
	Wetland adjacent support	ds a djacent to such waters t and with similarly situat ing this conclusion is prov	ed adjacent wetlands, a signi	y into TNWs. in combination with the tributary to wh ficant nexus with a TNW are jurisdiction acres.	
	As a general Demons	strate that impoundment v strate that water meets the	f a jurisdictional tributary reavas created from "waters of t	he U.S.," or ories presented above (1-6), or	
E.	DESTRUCTION OF APPLY): 10 which are or could from which fish o	WHICH COULD AFFECT I be used by interstate or foreign r shellfish are or could be taken I be used for industrial purposes waters. Explain:		nmerce.	
		s) of waters:	ew area (check all that apply):		
F.	☐ If potential wetla Manual and/or a ☐ Review area inclu ☐ Prior to the Rule" (ME	ands were assessed within the repropriate Regional Supplement uded isolated waters with no sue Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision.	nts. bstantial nexus to interstate (or foreig	the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetlandson) commerce would have been regulated based solely on the "Parties of Engineers Wetlandson Commerces."	
	(i.e., presence of migrapply):	ratory birds, presence of endang iters (i.e., rivers, streams): acres.		where the <u>sole</u> potential basis of jurisdiction is the ed agriculture), using best professional judgment	
	standard, where such	a finding is required for jurisdicters (i.e., rivers, streams): acres.		in the review area that do not meet the "Signific	ant Nexus"

SECTIONIV: DATA SOURCES.

A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply-checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, a ppropriately reference sources below):

⁹ To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.

¹⁰ Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

\bowtie	Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant:
	Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.
	Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.
	Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.
	Data sheets prepared by the Corps: .
	Corps na vigable waters' study:
\boxtimes	U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:
	☑ USGS NHD data.
	☑ USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.
\boxtimes	U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: Perrin & Gibtown.
\boxtimes	USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Jack County Soil Survey.
\boxtimes	National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name:
	State/Local wetland inventory map(s):
\boxtimes	FEMA/FIRM maps: Online viewer.
	100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929)
\boxtimes	Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): All Google Earth aerial imagery.
	or \(\subseteq Other (Name & Date): Consultant onsite photos from 2004 and 2020.
	Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:
	Applicable/supporting case law:
	Applicable/supporting scientific literature: .
	Other information (please specify):

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

- REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): 5/19/2022
- В.

В.	DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Fort Worth District, 2004-00414, Jacksboro Landfill
	PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:
	State: Texas County: Jack City: Joplin
	Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 33.075738° N, Long97.997914° W.
	Universal Transverse Mercator:
	Name of nearest waterbody: Little Beans Creek and Jasper Creek
	Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: Lake Bridgeport
	Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 120301010402
	Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request. Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc.) are a ssociated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.
D.	REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):
	Office (Desk) Determination. Date:
	Field Determination. Date(s): December 15,2021
SE	CTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS
	ha section to determination of Jurisdiction.
Ther	e Pick List "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area. [Required]
	Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.
ם פי	Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain: WA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
	e Pick List " <i>waters of the U.S.</i> " within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.
11161	1. Waters of the U.S.
	a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): 1
	TNWs, including territorial seas
	Wetlands adjacent to TNWs
	Relatively permanent waters? (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
	Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
	Westpards of imported by the set deposition of the flow of the flo

Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:

Non-wetland waters: linear feet: width (ft) and/or acres.

Impoundments of jurisdictional waters

Wetlands: acres.

Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: 1987 Delineation Manual Elevation of established OHWM (if known): Not known and not delineated using 1987 Manual.

Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs

2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):³

Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain: There are 3 upland stock tanks totaling 1.341 acres in the Jasper Creek drainage as well as an isolated ephemeral channel totaling 35.2 feet. There is 1 upland stock tank totaling 0.031 acre and 1,298 linear feet of the headwater reach of Little Bean Creek consisting of 297.4 feet of ephemeral channel in 12 distinct sections and 1000 feet of upland swale in 18 distinct sections with 13 distinct reaches of uplands where sheet flow occurs.

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

¹ Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

² For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

TNW
 Identify TNW:
 Summarize rationale supporting determination:

 Wetland adjacent to TNW
 Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent":
 CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

B.

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met. The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4. A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law. If the waterbody is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation that consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.B. below.

Characteristics of non-TNWs tributaries that flow directly or indirectly into TNW General Area Conditions: Watershed size: acres Drainage area: acres Average annual rainfall: inches Average annual snowfall: inches (ii) Physical Characteristics: Relationship with TNW: ☐ Tributary flows directly into TNW. ☐ Tributary flows through **2** tributaries before entering TNW. Project waters are Pick List river miles from TNW. Project waters are Pick List river miles from RPW. Project waters are Pick List aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Project waters are Pick List aerial (straight) miles from RPW. Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: Identify flow route to TNW 5:.. Tributary stream order, if known: 4. General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply): ☐ Natural. Explain: Artificial (man-made). Explain: ☐ Manipulated (man-altered). Explain: **Tributary** properties with respect to top of bank (estimate): Average width: -- feet Average depth: -- feet Average side slopes: Pick List. Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply): ☐ Silts ☐ Sands ☐ Concrete \square Cobbles ☐ Gravel ☐ Muck ☐ Bedrock \square Vegetation. Type/% cover: ☐ Other. Explain: Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain: Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain: Tributary geometry: Pick List Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): -- % (c) Flow: Tributary provides for: Pick List Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: Pick List Describe flow regime: Other information on duration and volume: Surface flow is: Pick List. Characteristics: Subsurface flow: Unknown. Explain findings: Dye (or other) test performed: Tributary has (check all that apply): ☐ Bed and banks ☐ OHWM⁶ (check all indicators that apply): clear, natural line impressed on the bank the presence of litter and debris changes in the character of soil destruction of terrestrial vegetation

⁴ Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

⁵ Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW. ⁶A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

			☐ shelving	닏	the presence of wrack line
			vegetation matted down, bent, or absent		sediment sorting
			leaf litter disturbed or was hed away		SCOUR
			sediment deposition		multiple observed or predicted flow events
			water staining	Ш	abrupt change in plant community
			other (list):		
			Discontinuous OHW M. ⁷ Explain: .		
			If factors other than the DHWM were used to determine lateral	extent of CW	ı jurisdiction (check all that apply):
				High Water Ma	r <u>k i</u> ndicated by:
			oil or scum line along shore objects		survey to available datum;
			fine shell/debris deposits (foreshore)		physical markings;
			physical markings/characteristics		vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types.
			☐ tidal gauges		
			□ other (list):		
		(iii)	Chemical Characteristics:		
		Chara	cterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film;	water quality;	general water shed characteristics, etc.). Explain:
	I		specific pollutants, if known: unknown.		
			al Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply)	:	
	, .		Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width):	•	
			Wetland fringe. Characteristics: Emergent wetland abuts west	of the ditch	
		_	Habitat for:	. DI LIIO GILOTE	
			Federally Listed species. Explain findings:		
			☐ Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:		
			Uther environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:		
	п		Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:		TANA
2.			tics of <u>wetlands</u> adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or in	idirectly into	INW
	(i)		cal Characteristics:		
		(a)	General Wetland Characteristics:		
			Properties:		
			Wetland size: acres		
			Wetland type. Explain:		
			Wetland quality. Explain:		
			Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain		
		(b)	General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW:		
			Flow is: Pick List . Explain:.		
			Surface flow is: Pick List		
			Characteristics:		
			Subsurface flow: Pick List . Explain findings: .		
			Dye (or other) test performed:		
		(c)	Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:		
			☐ Directly abutting		
			☐ Not directly abutting		
			Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain:		
			☐ Ecological connection. Explain:		
			☐ Separated by berm/barrier. Explain: There is an ea	rthen bermea	st of the wetland.
		(d)	Proximity (Relationship) to TNW		
		` '	Project wetlands are Pick List river miles from TNW.		
			Project waters are Pick List aerial (straight) miles from TNW	_	
			Flow is from: Pick List.		
			Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the Pick Li	st floodolain	
	(ii)		Chemical Characteristics:	- nooqnam	
	۱	Chara	cterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film	n on surface: v	vater quality: general water shed characteristics: etc.) Explain:
	ı		specific pollutants, if known: unknown.		rater quarty, genia ai water ance entraction atter, etc./. explain
			ical Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that appl	lv).	
	(,		Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width):	177-	
			Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain:.		
		_	Habitat for:		
			Federally Listed species. Explain findings:		
			☐ Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:		
			Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:		
			Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: .	•	
9	PL				
3.	Lnara		tics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any)		
			tland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: Pick List		
			ximately () acres in total are being considered in the cumulativ	e anatysts.	
		rur ea	ich wetland, specify the following:	n.	.l.,_L,,4,7 (/ /N)
	С.		Directly abuts? (Y/N) Size (in acres)		:ly abuts? (Y/N) Size (in acres)
Gluria				ea: biblogical 1	unction is habitat for wetland plants and provides surface water filtration.
71NIP	ILAN I	NEXUS	DETERMINATION (FOR NON-RPWS)		

C.

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in

combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the Rapanos Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below. based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:
 - Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:
- Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the

		tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:.		
D.	NETE	RMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):		
ъ.	1. TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area:			
	-	INWs: linear feet width (ft). Dr. acres.		
		Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres		
	2.	RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.		
	_	☐ Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial:		
		☐ Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at		
		Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally:		
		Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):		
		☐ Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).		
		□ Other non-wetland waters: acres.		
		3. Non-RPWs ⁸ that flow directly or indirectly into TNVs.		
		Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is		
		provided at Section III.C.		
		Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply):		
		Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).		
		☐ Other non-wetland waters: acres.		
		ldentify type(s) of waters: .		
	4.	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.		
		Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.		
		☐ Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale		
		indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:		
		Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III. B and rationale in Section III.D.2 above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:		
		Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.		
	5.	Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.		
		Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a		
		significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.		
		Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: 0.05 acres.		
	6.	<u>We</u> tlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.		
		Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a		
		significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.		
	_	Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.		
	7.	Impoundments of jurisdictional waters. 9		
		As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional. Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or		
		Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (I-6), or		
		Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).		
E.	ISOL A	TED (INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE) WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE,		
-		DING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): 0		
		which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes.		
		rom which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce.		
		which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce.		
		nterstate isolated waters. Explain: .		

⁸See Footnote # 3.

⁹ To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.

¹⁰ Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

	Ident Provi	Other factors. Explain: ify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination: de estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: Wetlands: acres.
F.		ON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or a ppropriate Regional Supplements. Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce. ☑ Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR). Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: Other: (explain, if not covered above): Four (4) preamble stock tanks constructed in uplands totaling 1.372 acres.
	the usin	vide a creage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review a rea, where the <u>sole</u> potential basis of jurisdiction is MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated a griculture), ng best professional judgment (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): 1,298 linear feet 1 width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of a quatic resource: Wetlands: acres.
	sta:	vide a creage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" ndard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of a quatic resource: Wetlands: acres.
		ONIV: DATA SOURCES.
Α.	who	PORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply-checked items shall be included in case file and, ere checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below): Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report. Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report. Data sheets prepared by the Corps: Corps navigable waters' study: U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: USGS NHD data.
		☑ USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps. U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: Perrin & Gibtown. USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Jack County. National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: State/Local wetland inventory map(s): FEMA/FIRM maps: Online viewer. 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929) Photographs: ☑ Aerial (Name & Date): All Google Earth a erial imagery. or ☑ Other (Name & Date): Consultant onsite photos from 2004 and 2020.
		Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: Applica ble/supporting case law: Applica ble/supporting scientific literature: Other information (please specify):